

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,359	06/27/2001	Sang Seo	0630-1278P	2387
2292	7590 08/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	CHO, HONG SOL		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Y			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/891,359	SEO, SANG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hong Cho	2616				
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: 61X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the place of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ju</u>	<u>une 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
, —	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-15,17 and 18</u> is/are pending i						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-15, 17 and 18</u> is/are rejected	d.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲 🗆	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	<b>i</b> ).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
* S	application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed				
J	co the attached actained office determined a field	or the continue copies has receive					
Attachment		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	<del></del>	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 6/23/2006. Claim 11, 16 and 19-21 are canceled. Claims 1-10, 12-15, 17 and 18 are pending in the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Humpleman et al (U.S 6198479), hereinafter referred to as Humpleman.

Re claims 1 and 10, Humpleman discloses providing an Internet service in a non-IP based network comprising a first server (*home device*, elements 102, 108 and 110, figure 14) connected to a non-IP based network (*home network*, column 4, lines 40-44) and having an application program as installed and a second server (*Internet proxy*, element 1104, figure 14) connected to a non-IP network (*home network*) and an IP based network (*Internet*) and having the application program as installed so that a service

Art Unit: 2616

Internet service request received from the Internet (selecting one of the first server and the second server to provide an Internet service based on the Internet service request, column 20, lines 53-62). Humpleman's Internet proxy necessarily would have the non-IP based processing protocol layer and the IP to non-IP interface between the non-IP based data processing protocol layer and the IP based network.

Re claim 2, Humpleman discloses HAVi (Home Audio/Video interoperability) home network (column 4, lines 36-37).

Re claim 3, Humpleman discloses transmitting Internet service in a digital format or a Web document format (column 4, lines 15-19).

Re claim 4, Humpleman discloses accessing and controlling home devices through Internet service (the Internet service is accessed from the Internet to the first and second server to control the first and the second servers, column 20, lines 53-62).

Re claim 5, Humpleman discloses a layered interface model that provides an Internet service through Internet proxy (the first server with an application program layer for providing an Internet service, figures 2 and 14).

Re claims 6 and 7, Humpleman discloses each home device containing interface data (column 4, lines 15-18).

Re claim 8, Humpleman discloses a layered interface model that utilizes IP protocol stack for communication between home devices and providing an Internet service through Internet proxy (figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/891,359

Art Unit: 2616

Page 4

Re claim 9, Humpleman discloses an Internet proxy connected to a plurality of home devices (figure 14).

Re claims 12 and 13, Humpleman discloses providing an Internet service in a non-IP based network comprising a first appliance (*Internet proxy*, element 1104, figure 14) for controlling and communicating the non-IP based network with the Internet (*home network*, column 4, lines 40-42) and a second appliance which is connected with the first appliance for providing the Internet service to a third appliance connected with the Internet via the first appliance when the Internet service is requested by the third appliance connected to the Internet via the first appliance (column 20, lines 53-62). Humpleman discloses Internet proxy receiving an Internet service request from the IP based network and selecting one of the first server and the second server to provide an Internet service based on the Internet service request (column 20, lines 53-62). Humpleman's Internet proxy necessarily would have the non-IP based processing protocol layer and the IP to non-IP interface between the non-IP based data processing protocol layer and the IP based network.

Re claim 14, Humpleman discloses each home network device functioning as a server for providing its own information (figure 3a; column 7, lines 13-15).

Re claim 15, Humpleman discloses non-IP based HAVi (Home Audio/Video interoperability) home network connecting a plurality of appliances functioned as the second appliance (column 4, lines 36-37).

Re claims 17 and 18, Humpleman discloses the first server appliance as a DTV or DVD or DVCR (figure 14, elements 102, 108, 110) and a second server appliance as an Internet proxy providing a service to Internet (*set-top box*, figure 14, element 1104).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/23/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 11 of the remarks, the Applicant argues that Humpleman's home network must be an IP based network in order to provide the advantage of remotely controlling the home devices. In reply, the argument seems misplaced because the Applicant points to the only one embodiment (IP based home network) of Humpleman. The Applicant is referred to consider other embodiments of Humpleman where any predefined communication protocols (non-IP protocols) could be used to provide communication among home devices (column 4, lines 38-44).

On page 12 of the remarks, the Applicant argues that the non-IP based processing protocol layer and the IP to non IP interface between the non-IP based data processing protocol layer and the IP based network are not necessarily present in Humpleman. In reply, the Examiner believes the argument applies only to the one embodiment (IP based home network) of Humpleman. As for other non-IP embodiments, Humpleman's Internet proxy necessarily would have the non-IP based processing protocol layer and the

Application/Control Number: 09/891,359 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

IP to non-IP interface between the non-IP based data processing protocol layer and the IP based network.

On page 13 of the remarks, the Applicant argues that Humpleman's Internet proxy itself cannot provide any Internet service based on the Internet service request, however, the second server/first appliance in the present invention provides an Internet Web service to the Internet. In reply, it is noted that the above feature is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Claims recite that one of the first server and the second server provides an Internet service. In addition, Humpleman's Internet proxy provides an Internet service by allowing a user to control home devices via the Internet.

On page 14 of the remarks, the Applicant argues that Humpleman's home devices cannot provide any service to the Internet via the Internet proxy. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner interprets "providing service to the Internet" as allowing a user to control home devices via the Internet.

The Examiner concludes that the rejection of claims is proper.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP §

706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR

1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is

Application/Control Number: 09/891,359 Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*hc* Hong Cho Patent Examiner 7/28/2006

HASSAN KIZOU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600